Prime Scholars Library



Short Communication

Available online at

https://primescholarslibrary.or

Annals of Educational Research and Reviews

Vol. 10 (3), pp.15 - 18, September, 2022

©Prime Scholars Library

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article.

Article remain permanently open access under CC BY-NC-ND license

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Reflections on the methodological development and utility of the kidscreen-52 quality of life questionnaire: A Trans-disciplinary Methodologization

Filip Kachnic*

Department of Education, Institute for Research and Development of Education, University of Charles, Myslikova, Prague 1, Czech Republic.

Received: 21-Jul-2022, Manuscript No. AERR-22-69884; Editor assigned: 25-Jul-2022, PreQC No. AERR-22-69884 (PQ); Reviewed: 08-Aug-2022, QC No AERR-22-69884; Revised: 15-Aug-2022, Manuscript No. AERR-22-69884 (R); **Published:** 25-Aug-2022, DOI: 10.51268/ 2736-1888.22.10.066.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to critically explore the methodology for item generation used in the development of the KIDSCREEN-52 quality of life questionnaire. The reason for conducting this study is the long-standing need for methodological insights both in cross-cultural health-related survey research and educational research of immigrant children. Our research questions were: (1) What methodological principles do emerge in evidence-based educational research of immigrant children? and (2) In which ways are these methodological principles present in the methodology of Kidscreen-52?

Keywords: KIDSCREEN-52, Quality of life research, Educational research, Immigrant children, Transdisciplinary methodologization.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to critically explore the methodology for item generation used in the development of the KIDSCREEN-52 quality of life questionnaire (Barkmann et al., 2021; Bollweg et al., 2020; Bullinger et al., 2006; Ravens-Sieberer et al., 2008; Ravens-Sieberer et al., 2014; Robitail et al., 2006; Nassar-McMillan et al., 2002). The reason for conducting this study is the long-standing need for methodological insights both in crosscultural health-related survey research and educational research on immigrant children (Perneger et al., 1999; Dickson-Swift et al., 2013; Punch, 2008; Shivayogi, 2012; Liamputtong, 2007; Liamputtong, 2008). Our research questions were: (1)

methodological principles do emerge in evidence-based educational research immigrant children? and (2) In which ways are these methodological principles present in the methodology of Kidscreen-52? The final interconnection analysis revealed three overarching elements: (1) Kidscreen focus enabled peer interactions involving relational authenticity, a concept found in educational research, and (2) due to their inherent group dynamics the Kidscreen focus groups increased verbal and nonverbal expressions, and (3) by covering a greater range of experiences the Kidscreen focus groups have enhanced reflexivity that is instrumental for capturing cross-cultural experiences of vulnerable children populations (Patton, 2004; Noddings, 2015; Sha et al., 2020; Punch, 2012).

METHODOLOGY

Due to the cross-disciplinary uniqueness of our study, we have adopted the intrinsic case study design. To answer the first research question, we conducted a rapid review of the evidence-based literature relevant to immigrant children. To answer the second research question, we have conducted an analytical methodological comparison of the item generation in Kidscreen-52 based on (McPhee et al., 2018).

The rapid review adhered to the following procedure: (1) we used google scholar search, (2) keywords used: "kid-screen", "migrant", "immigrant", and "vulnerable". Inclusion criteria: (a) studies had to report empirical data, b) studies had to be related or reference to immigrant children in school context, © had to discuss methodological studies approaches and (d) data corresponded to our definition of immigrant children encompassing terms including any type of migration experience of children adolescents aged 7 to 18) (Mbuagbaw et al., 2020; Herdman et al., 2002; Arksey et al., 2005). Four most important databases (Web of Science, PubMed, Psyc INFO, and ERIC) in the fields of education, psychology and social sciences research were searched (citations were excluded). The search included only articles written in English and published between 2015 and 2022. The decision to focus on this period was based on increasing interest in refugee education caused by the Syrian refugee influx in 2015 (Gifford et al., 2007; Ostrand, 2015).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our research questions were: (1) What methodological principles do emerge in evidence-based educational research on immigrant children? and (2) In which ways are these methodological principles present in the methodology of Kidscreen-52?

First research question

The rapid review identified 48 outputs, detailing the nature and extent of methodology to conduct research with immigrant children. We identified 7 referenced studies that met our inclusion criteria. They highlighted the following three methodological principles:

1. Providing relational authenticity (Noddings, 2013; Noddings, 2015;

- Perneger et al., 1999).
- 2. Ensuring higher level of reflexivity (Sharkey et al., 2021; Liamputtong, 2007; Liamputtong, 2008).
- 3. Enhancing verbal and non-verbal expression (Punch, 2012).

Second research question

The previously identified methodological principles occurred mainly in the Kindscreen-52 focus groups that were used to generate survey items (Harkness, 2007; Bullinger et al, 2006).

- 1. By enabling peer interactions the Kidscreen-52 focus groups have provided relational authenticity.
- 2. By covering a greater range of experiences the Kidscreen-52 focus groups have enhanced reflexivity that is instrumental for capturing cross-cultural experiences of vulnerable children populations (Punch, 2012).
- 3. By providing group dynamics the Kidscreen-52 focus groups have served as a catalyst for verbal and non-verbal expression (Morgan, 1996).

CONCLUSION

Researchers often are in need of adapting survey items age-appropriately. Focus groups utilized for item generation of Kidscreen-52 demonstrated the potential to encourage age-appropriate conversations. According to Detmar focus group have the ability to increase the amount of verbal and non-verbal expressions. This is particularly instrumental for immigrant children experiencing silent periods or selective mutism.

REFERENCES

Arksey H, O'Malley L (2005). Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. Int. J. Soc. Res. Methodol. 8(1):pp:19-32.

Barkmann C, Otto C, Meyrose AK, Reiss F, Wuestner A, Voss C, Erhart M, Ravens-Sieberer U (2021). Psychometrics and Norms of the Quality of Life Inventory KIDSCREEN in Germany. DIAGNOSTICA. 67(1):2-12.

- Bollweg TM, Okan O, Pinheiro P, Broder J, Bruland D, Freţian AM, Domanska OM, Jordan S, Bauer U (2020). Adapting the European health literacy survey for fourthgrade students in Germany: Questionnaire development and qualitative pretest. Health. Lit. Res. Pract. 4(2):e119-e128.
- Bullinger M, Alonso J, Apolone G, Leplege A, Sullivan M, Wood-Dauphinee S, Gandek B, Wagner A, Detmar SB, Bruil J, Ravens-Sieberer U, Gosch A, Bisegger C (2006). The use of focus groups in the development of the KIDSCREEN HRQL questionnaire. Qual. Life Res. 15(8):1345-1353.
- Dickson-Swift V, James E, Liamputtong P (2008). Undertaking sensitive research in the health and social sciences: Managing boundaries, emotions and risks. Cambridge University Press.
- Gifford SM, Bakopanos C, Kaplan I, Correa-Velez I (2007). Meaning or measurement? Researching the social contexts of health and settlement among newly-arrived refugee youth in Melbourne, Australia. J. Refug. Stud. 20(3):414-440.
- Harkness JA (2007). Improving the comparability of translations. Measuring attitudes cross-nationally: Lessons from the European Social Survey. 79-94.
- Herdman M, Rajmil L, Ravens-Sieberer U, Bullinger M, Power M, Alonso J, European Kidscreen and Disabkids groups (2002). Expert consensus in the development of a European health-related quality of life measure for children and adolescents: A Delphi study. Acta. Paediatr. 91(12):1385-1390.
- Liamputtong P (2007). Researching the vulnerable: A guide to sensitive research methods.
- Liamputtong P (2008). Doing research in a cross-cultural context: Methodological and ethical challenges. Doing Cross-Cultural Research. pp.3-20.
- Mbuagbaw L, Lawson DO, Puljak L, Allison DB, Thabane L (2020). A tutorial on methodological studies: The what, when, how and why. BMC. Med. Res. Methodol. 20(1):1-2.
- McPhee C, Bliemel MJ, van der Bijl-Brouwer M (2018). Transdisciplinary innovation. Technol. Innov. Manag. Rev. 8(8): 3-6.

- Morgan DL (1996). Focus groups as qualitative research.
- Nassar-McMillan SC, Borders LD (2002). Use of focus groups in survey item development. Qual. Rep. 7(1):1-2.
- Noddings N (2013). Caring: A relational approach to ethics and moral education. Univ of California Press.
- Noddings N (2015). The challenge to care in schools, 2nd Editon. Teachers college press.
- Ostrand N (2015). The Syrian refugee crisis: A comparison of responses by Germany, Sweden, the United Kingdom, and the United States. Journal on Migration and Human Security. 3(3):255-279.
- Patton MQ (2014). Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice.
- Perneger TV, Leplege A, Etter JF (1999). Cross-cultural adaptation of a psychometric instrument: Two methods compared. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 52(11):1037-1046.
- Punch S (2012). Studying transnational children: A multi-sited, longitudinal, ethnographic approach. J. Ethn. Migr. Stud. 38(6):1007-1023.
- Ravens-Sieberer U, Gosch A, Rajmil L, Erhart M, Bruil J, Power M, Duer W, Auquier P, Cloetta B, Czemy L, Mazur J (2008). The KIDSCREEN-52 quality of life measure for children and adolescents: Psychometric results from a cross-cultural survey in 13 European countries. Value. Health. 11(4):645-658.
- Ravens-Sieberer U, Herdman M, Devine J, Otto C, Bullinger M, Rose M, Klasen F (2014). The European KIDSCREEN approach to measure quality of life and well-being in children: Development, current application, and future advances. Qual. Life. Res. 23(3):791-803.
- Robitail S, Simeoni MC, Erhart M, Ravens-Sieberer U, Bruil J, Auquier P, Group EK (2006). Validation of the European proxy KIDSCREEN-52 pilot test health-related quality of life questionnaire: First results. J. Adolesc. Health. 39(4):pp.596-e1-596-e10.
- Sha M, Park H, Pan Y, Kim J (2020). Cross-

Cultural Comparison of Focus Groups as a Research Method. The Essential Role of Language in Survey Research. 8:151.

Sharkey J, Peercy MM, Solano-Campos A, Schall-Leckrone L (2021). Being a reflexive practitioner and scholar in TESOL: Methodological considerations. Becoming and Being a TESOL Teacher Educator. pp. 127-146.

Shivayogi P (2013). Vulnerable population and methods for their safeguard. Perspect. Clin. Res. 4(1):53-7.