The under-used chance: Wearable cardioverter-defibrillator (WCD)
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Abstract

Sudden cardiac death is often precipitated by unstable ventricular arrhythmias. These arrhythmias are particularly amendable to defibrillation. The risk of these arrhythmias is highest immediately following an acute myocardial infarction, especially if there is impaired left ventricular function. Many patients with depressed left ventricular function receive an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator for prophylaxis against these lethal arrhythmias. The current guidelines recommend against implantable cardioverter-defibrillator implantation in the early period following myocardial infarction. This is because, given the time, arrhythmia risk typically decreases. The wearable cardioverter-defibrillator (WCD) can protect these vulnerable patients in the short term when arrhythmia risk is highest. Herein, we present a case of a 69 year old male who suffered a cardiac arrest four days after hospital discharge following an acute myocardial infarction.
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INTRODUCTION

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is the leading cause of cardiovascular death in industrialized population and accounts for 300,000 to 400,000 deaths annually in the United States. It is estimated to account for up to 50% of all heart disease related deaths (Engelstein and Zipes, 1998). Despite advances in the treatment of acute coronary syndromes with early revascularization and effective secondary preventative therapies, the risk of sudden cardiac death remains high in the early period following myocardial infarction (MI). This is particularly true in the setting of severely reduced left ventricular function, reflected as a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) less than 35% (Solomon et al., 2005; Klein et al., 2010). In majority of the cases, SCD results from a ventricular arrhythmia, typically ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation (Huikuri et al., 2001). These arrhythmias are particularly amendable to defibrillation. Current guidelines, however, recommend against implantation of an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) in the early period following an acute MI (Hohnloser et al., 2004; Zipes et al., 2006; Steinbeck et al., 2009; Epstein et al., 2008). We present a case of a 69 year-old man that died after sustaining a cardiac arrest 4 days after discharged home from a hospitalization for an acute MI.

CASE REPORT

A 69 year-old male presented with acute onset substernal chest tightness and heaviness that did not change with position or rest. It was associated with diaphoresis and shortness of breath. Past medical history was positive for hypertension and hyperlipidemia on treatment. Initial examination showed a heart rate of 73/min, blood pressure of 123/65 mmHg, and respiratory rate of 16/min with oxygen saturation of 92% on 2 L/min of oxygen. Cardiac examination revealed a normal S1 and S2 without murmurs or gallops. The point of maximal impulse was not displaced. The carotid upstrokes were
normal bilaterally without carotid bruits. Peripheral pulses were palpable and symmetric. There was no peripheral edema. Lung fields were clear without wheezes, rhonchi, or rales. The remainder of the physical examination was unremarkable.

A 12-lead electrocardiogram revealed ST-segment elevation in leads V3 to V5, I and AVL with reciprocal ST depression in the inferior leads. He was given aspirin, nitroglycerin, clopidogrel, supplemental oxygen, intravenous heparin and was referred for emergent cardiac catheterization which revealed a total occlusion of the proximal segment of the left anterior descending artery (LAD) (Figure 1).

Successful coronary intervention was performed with placement of three bare-metal stents to the proximal and mid LAD. The patient was then transferred to the cardiovascular intensive care unit in stable condition. He was started on daily aspirin, clopidogrel, carvedilol, lisinopril, and atorvastatin. Post catheterization 2D-echocardiogram showed mildly enlarged and eccentric left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy with severe global impairment in LV function. The estimated LV ejection fraction was < 20%. The right heart chambers were normal in size with normal right ventricular function (Figure 2).
On hospital day 6, he was discharged home in stable condition with daily aspirin, clopidogrel, carvedilol, lisinopril and simvastatin. He was scheduled for repeat echocardiogram as an outpatient for evaluation of possible ICD implantation in the future. Four days after discharge, the patient suffered sudden cardiopulmonary arrest. Despite aggressive resuscitative efforts he expired.

DISCUSSION

Survivors of acute MI who have resultant left ventricular impairment are at high risk for ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Solomon et al. (2005) showed that the risk of SCD is highest in the first 30 days after myocardial infarction. Unstable ventricular arrhythmias, particularly ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation, account for the majority of SCD. The risk of death from these lethal arrhythmias, however, can be reduced by earlier implementation of appropriate preventive strategies. Several studies have demonstrated ICD devices to be effective in primary and secondary SCD prevention in high risk patients (Moss et al., 2002). Additionally, it has been established that ICDs significantly reduce SCD in the remote phase of infarction (after 40 days) if left ventricular function remains depressed (Moss et al., 1996).

Current guidelines require a minimum of 40 days (with optimal medical therapy) prior to implantation of an ICD after MI and a minimum of 90 days after cardiac revascularization, regardless of LV function. This is because the risk of life threatening arrhythmias significantly decreases after the initial 40 days and with optimal medical therapy many patients will see improvements in LV function (and thus no longer qualify for an ICD). Patients with initially depressed LV function must therefore undergo follow up testing to establish persistence of LV dysfunction and thus need for a permanent ICD.

In patients with depressed LV function (LVEF<35%) in the acute phase following MI (within 40 days), a wearable cardioverter-defibrillator (WCD) is an effective approach for the prevention of SCD. This is particularly true if the patient experiences symptomatic heart failure, ventricular arrhythmias, or cardiac arrest prior to discharge. The Heart Rhythm Society recommends WCD, in addition to optimal medical therapy, for patients at the highest risk of SCD (Figure 3). WCD (also known as the Life Vest) is an
external device capable of automatic detection and defibrillation of ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation. When worn properly, WCD appears to be as effective as an ICD for the termination of these deadly arrhythmias (Feldman et al., 2004). Both short term and long term survival was comparable to ICD (Chung et al., 2010). WCD can protect patients during the initial 40 day (or 90 day if revascularization took place) period after infarction when arrhythmic risk is highest and buy the time necessary to allow for improvements in LV function following optimal medical therapy. WCD can thus act as a bridge until a final decision for a permanent ICD can be made.

Conclusion

The case of this study reminds clinicians to identify patients at the highest risk of SCD and utilize all available options for the prevention of sudden cardiac death. WCD can prevent these vulnerable patients in the short term when the arrhythmia risk is highest. We would like to promote the implementation of guidelines set forth by the Heart Rhythm Society recommending the use of WCDs in high risk patients during the early period following an acute MI.
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